

Green Belt

Hampton Road site: If you do support the proposals, why is that? Is/are there any aspects in particular influencing your view?	Hampton Road site: If you do not support the proposals, why is that? Is/are there any aspects in particular influencing your view?	Hampton Road site: Are there any details of the Council's proposals that you think should be changed? If so, please describe what you would like to see.
The POSSIBLE provision of new sports facilities balances to some extent the loss of green belt to housing,	green space will be lost.	Reduce number of new builds to reduce impact on any green space
Resigned need to find somewhere for housing: better beside the village than in development in green belt in new island of housing	I oppose all developments in green belt	there must be a defensible green belt boundary created on both sites to prevent expansion
Proposed reduced number of houses being built is to be welcome. As is Hedgerow protection & proposed new green belt.	Essentially green belt development i	there must be a defensible green belt boundary created on both sites to prevent expansion. There is no defensible green belt boundary to the edge of the proposed site
To stop other proposals that eat up more green fields	This is an unnecessary development on the green belt.	I think the council need to look elsewhere, not green belt!
Don't think should be building on green belt site greenbelt	Encroachment on the declining green spaces building on green belt	We should be far more ambitious in adding to green spaces The new green belt boundary should be permanent. How can a hedge be considered permanent?
We don't need a new football ground, spoils the cricket ground and builds on green belt!	We do not want the removal of green space	Rather than only having green space / screening between the proposed development and Hampton Road, there should also be screening (trees) between the existing housing and the proposed development.
disagree with encroachment into green belt	This is established green belt	preservation of green belt area and hedges good,
Downside is being built on green belt	The protection of green belt areas, Eating into our ever reducing green belt	

Hampton Road site: If you do support the proposals, why is that? Is/are there any aspects in particular influencing your view?	Hampton Road site: If you do not support the proposals, why is that? Is/are there any aspects in particular influencing your view?	Hampton Road site: Are there any details of the Council's proposals that you think should be changed? If so, please describe what you would like to see.
	I object to building on the green belt in principle	
	green belt	
	green belt land,	
	I regard the green belt as starting after the last current house on the left of Hampton Rd leaving Knowle,	
	We need to protect our green land.	
	Cutting into beautiful greenbelt land is just not an option.to ruin beautiful hedgerows to make way for more housing on greenbelt lands,	
	It's encroaching on green belt for additional houses we do not need.	
	The site is currently green belt and should not be built on	
	and loss of more green space.	
	I disagree using green fields before redeveloping the High street (brown field opportunity).	
	loss of green space	
	the new sports areas would be on green belt land.	
	Loss of green belt	
	ON green belt.	
	Loss of greenbelt,	

Hampton Road site: If you do support the proposals, why is that? Is/are there any aspects in particular influencing your view?	Hampton Road site: If you do not support the proposals, why is that? Is/are there any aspects in particular influencing your view?	Hampton Road site: Are there any details of the Council's proposals that you think should be changed? If so, please describe what you would like to see.
	Loss of greenbelt	
	Loss of green belt, there is no defensible green belt boundary between the football and cricket site. Very worried that green belt boundary not finalised and will creep further into the green belt, potentially with houses all the way to the canal. There is no defensible green belt boundary to the edge	
	There is no defensible green belt boundary between the football club and the cricket club or indeed beyond the cricket club all the way east to the canal on the East. Very concerned that green belt boundary is not finalised on the site which is further away along Hampton Road and the housing will creep further into the green belt. There is no defensible green belt boundary to the edge of the Council's proposed site.	

Arden Triangle Site: If you support the proposals, why is that? Is/are there any aspects in particular influencing your view?	Arden Triangle Site: If you do not support the proposals, why is that? Is/are there any aspects in particular influencing your view?	Arden Triangle Site: Are there are details of the Council's proposals that you think should be changed? If so, please describe what you would like to see.
The land has already been built on, so less impact on the greenbelt land	Building on fields and green spaces whilst we have unnecessary offices being built all over the borough alongside garden grabbing and the erection of oversized Wendy houses.	Again I sit on improving the environment but in terms of tree planting, green space, and solar planes etc.
the housing better to be put by the village, not new island of housing in green belt	green belt development in a position that does not have the be necessary infrastructure to support.	I do not support building on green belt when there are empty and undeveloped alternatives,
new logical defensible green belt boundary.	This is an unnecessary infringement into the green belt.	Retain more trees, more green spaces and pathways.
the school will be safer (from covid etc) greener and make station road safer	The loss of green belt is unnecessary when there is empty, affordable housing across the borough (eg Wharf Lane) and undeveloped brown fuels sites (eg Rowlands Crescent).	Maintain the land as green belt. Stop allowing unscrupulous developers to build in the green belt and countryside.
need green space around developments.	too large, disruption and building on the green belt	The water line shown on the Plans would be a defensible green belt boundary.
	Part green belt, major traffic congestion along station road/Warwick rd, totally out of character for village. Knowle will no longer be a village, health care is already struggling in village.	The water line shown on the Plans would be a defensible green belt boundary or if arguably not, a defensible green belt Boundary should be created at that point.
	I disagree using green fields before redeveloping the High street (brown field opportunity).	Less housing retention of green space
	Loss of green field site not sustainable, ON green belt.	the unacceptable degradation of the green belt the loss of greenbelt areas is something that I can never agree to.

Arden Triangle Site: If you support the proposals, why is that? Is/are there any aspects in particular influencing your view?	Arden Triangle Site: If you do not support the proposals, why is that? Is/are there any aspects in particular influencing your view?	Arden Triangle Site: Are there are details of the Council's proposals that you think should be changed? If so, please describe what you would like to see.
	Loss of greenbelt,	Not build on green belt , brown field sites in the midlands should be used first.
	Loss of greenbelt,	more green spaces to allow for wildlife highways
	The land which is being lost is nearly all productive green belt.	Overall reduction in density and inclusion of new green areas.
	Middlefield is an appalling development with crowding and no greening.	Scrap it ! Unnecessary destruction of green belt
	Loss of green space.	Leave for green space
	Loss of trees and green spaces.	Only build on preexisting brown land not green land
	green belt protection	
	Chipping away at our green space,	
	green space would be lost	
	Fed up with the urbanisation of green belt	
	High density, predominantly 3 bed or social housing will degrade the areas desirability and green outlook.	
	loss of green area	
	This is a commercial enterprise - a business, built on a green field site (I thought the existing site was also designated green?).	